

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	e ⊠Yes □ No	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No	
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?) ⊠Yes □ No	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after th test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary ∑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Zonly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	g ∐Yes ⊠ No	

DADT III. ODEDATING/DECODDKEEDING DECHIDEMI	ENITE D1. (2.206.414(2)(a) and (b) F.A.C. (continued)	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(Check 12 appropriate box(cs))		
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s	suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
emissions?		
removal of particulate matter from roads and other		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win		
	\text{\tince}\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi{\text{\text{\text{	
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	e emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Boxed Yes \Boxed No	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment		
1 C' the left impostion has there has		
1. Since the last inspection has there been	\ Yes \ \ No	
a) Installation of any new process equipment:		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? Yes No		
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the o		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office? Yes No		
XT A 11	2/1/2007	
Norma Ali	3/1/2007	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	2/08	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: The original VE Test was scheduled for Tuesday	February 27, 2007 which was cancelled due to baghouse	
problems and re-scheduled for today Thursday, March 1, 2007. They are building a new plant on the same site, they have 2 silos		
with new baghouses, they also are building 3 storage silos and plan to pave the roads. Currently they do not water the roads or		
materials, the roads are mostly dirt, they were very dried and when the wind blows, clouds of dust from the roads were leaving the		
property, the road to get to the facility was very dried also, and trucks in/out left behind clouds of dust. I mentioned to Steve		
Malloch, Plant Manager and Abigail Diaz, Environmental Engineer, that according to the permit they shall take reasonable		
precautions to control unconfined emissions from truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles and yards. He		
replied that they will do whatever it takes to be in compliance. No	objectionable odors noticed.	
No batching ocurred during silo's baghouse VE test.		

Observed Opacity = 0%

26.82 tons cement/ \sim 60 min x 60 min/1hr = 26.82 TPH loading rate > 25.0 TPH

The address changed from 339 to 343 Thorpe Road, Orlando, FL 32824 due to new plant under construction.